

HACC Assessment Record

Department/Campus:

Academic Affairs

Unit:

NA

Assessment Start Date:	July 2012	
Goal: <i>(Campus, department or unit)</i>	Reorganize Academic Affairs for improved efficiency, responsiveness, functionality, and curriculum development and delivery	
Objective: <i>(Measurable)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve communications throughout the College • Improve collegiality, civility, and trust throughout the College • Continuously assess and improve the organizational structure 	
Alignment to Strategic Plan:	SP Goal II: Organizational Excellence Objective 9: Continuously improve the organization structure SP Goal III: Operational Excellence Objective 12: Improve communication with internal and external stakeholders	
Sources of Evidence to be used: <i>(Measures that would point to achievement of goal/objective. Examples: databases, focus group feedback, surveys. See p. 10 of Guide.)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interviews (Department Chairs and Deans) • Focus Groups (Academic Affairs Efficiency Task Force, Faculty Senate Cabinet, Department Chair group meetings) • Surveys (Faculty Senate Surveys regarding department structure, role descriptions, and department organization) • Document Review • Current Practices Inventory (Role Case-study analysis in Academic Council and Faculty Senate) 	
Type of Assessment :	Information –Gathering Assessment: Designed to inform decision-making	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information– Gathering <i>(needs assessments, inventories, establishing baselines)</i> • Performance–Evaluating <i>(How well are we doing? Have we improved?)</i> 		
IF ASSESSMENT IS PERFORMANCE-EVALUATING:		
*Benchmarks and Performance Targets are critical when evaluating performance. They may or may not be as critical when gathering information, although a rubric may be developed to organize categories under consideration.	Benchmarks or Standards (See pp. 11 – 13 of Guide)	Performance Target (See pp. 13 – 17 of Guide)
	NA	NA
Findings: <i>(What did we learn from this assessment? What did the evidence say?)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Function needs to take precedence over structure • Institutional effectiveness needs to be a focus of Academic Affairs 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Curriculum needs to be the focus for accomplishing College strategic goals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Teaching and learning excellence ○ Organizational excellence
<p>Decision-Making: <i>(What changes of practice are indicated? What budget priorities are established? What accomplishments should be celebrated and showcased?)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roles of academic affairs administrators were redefined: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Provost is executive “owner” of institutional effectiveness and directs academic affairs deployment (campuses, workforce, and virtual learning), library and academic support administrators ○ Associate Provost (instead of curricular division deans) serves as strategic leader of curriculum, links institutional effectiveness to curriculum, and advocates for department chairs at the executive level ○ Department chairs given strengthened role for College-wide strategic focus on curriculum, coordination and management of curriculum (priorities, plans, implementation, and quality control), and leads faculty evaluation ○ Campus deans, workforce dean, and virtual learning directors maintain operational focus on curriculum and link curriculum/modality to service area via collaboration with departments • The number of academic departments reduced from 22 to 10 and led by faculty department chairs with significant release time. • The organization was reformed so that institutional research, assessment of student learning, and curriculum were the drivers of collaborative decision-making and workflow. • To support transparency and a commitment to improved communication, the AA Provost gave presentations on each of the campuses. Additionally, information about the reorganization was distributed electronically by email. • Necessary and appropriate staffing changes have been made.
<p>Assessment Closing Date:</p>	<p>February 15, 2013</p>
<p>Notes:</p>	<p>An assessment plan is being developed to evaluate the effectiveness of this reorganization. It is to be completed by May 2014.</p> <p>Attachments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Academic Affairs Unit Plan • Department Chair Analysis 9-10-2012 to Senate • Recommendations relative to disciplines in departments • Academics House Survey Results – Disciplines and departments

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Cabinet Meeting Handout 2012-11-06</u>• <u>Academic Affairs Functional Concept</u>• <u>Academic Affairs Role Descriptions</u>• <u>Academic Affairs Organization Chart</u>• <u>Academic Affairs Reorganization Timeline</u>• <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>• <u>Campus Forums FAQ</u>
--	--